arXiv:0707.4214v1 [math.PR] 28 Jul 2007

Ergodic BSDEs and Optimal Ergodic Control in Banach Spaces

Marco Fuhrman
Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano
piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy
e-mail: marco.fuhrman@polimi.it

Ying Hu
IRMAR, Université Rennes 1
Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 RENNES Cedex, France
e-mail: ying.hu@univ-rennes1.fr

Gianmario Tessitore
Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano-Bicocca
Via Cozzi 53, 20135, Milano Italy
e-mail: gianmario.tessitore@unimib.it

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new kind of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, called ergodic BSDEs, which arise naturally in the study of optimal ergodic control. We study the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solution to ergodic BSDEs. Then we apply these results to the optimal ergodic control of a Banach valued stochastic state equation. We also establish the link between the ergodic BSDEs and the associated Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation. Applications are given to ergodic control of stochastic partial differential equations.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the following type of (markovian) backward stochastic differential equations with infinite horizon (that we shall call *ergodic* BSDEs or EBSDEs for short):

$$Y_t^x = Y_T^x + \int_t^T [\psi(X_\sigma^x, Z_\sigma^x) - \lambda]\, d\sigma - \int_T^T Z_\sigma^x dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \le t \le T < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

In equation (1.1) X^x is the solution of a forward stochastic differential equation with values in a Banach space E starting at x and $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in a Hilbert space Ξ.

Our aim is to find a triple (Y, Z, λ), where Y, Z are adapted processes taking values in $\mathbb{R}$ and Ξ^* respectively and λ is a real number. $\psi : E \times \Xi^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function. We stress the fact that λ is part of the unknowns of equation (1.1) and this is the reason why the above is a new class of BSDEs.

It is by now well known that BSDEs provide an efficient alternative tool to study optimal control problems, see, e.g. [21], [9] or, in an infinite dimensional framework, [12], [17]. But up to our best knowledge, there exists no work in which BSDE techniques are applied to optimal control problems with *ergodic* cost functionals that is functionals depending only on the asymptotic behavior of the state (see e.g. the cost defined in formula (1.4) below).

The purpose of the present paper is to show that backward stochastic differential equations, in particular the class of EBSDEs mentioned above, are a very useful tool in the treatment of ergodic control problems as well, especially in an infinite dimensional framework.

There is a fairly large amount of literature dealing by analytic techniques with optimal ergodic control problems for finite dimensional stochastic state equations. We just mention the basic papers by Bensoussan and Frehse [3] and by Arisawa and Lions [1] where the problem is treated through the study of the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation (solutions are understood in a classical sense and in a viscosity sense, respectively).

Concerning the infinite dimensional case it is known that both classical and viscosity notions of solutions are not so suitable concepts. Maslowski and Goldys in [15] employ a mild formulation of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation in a Hilbertian framework (see [5] and references within for the corresponding mild formulations in the standard cases). In [15] the authors prove, by a fixed point argument that exploits the smoothing properties of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup corresponding to the state equation, existence and uniqueness of the solution of the stationary HJB equation for discounted infinite horizon costs. Then they pass to the limit, as the discount goes to zero, to obtain a mild solution of the HJB equation for the ergodic problem (see also [8]). Such techniques need to assume, beside natural condition on the dissipativity of the state equation, also non-degeneracy of the noise and a limitation on the lipschitz constant (with respect to the gradient variable) of the hamiltonian function. This last condition carries a bound on the size of the control domain (see [14] for similar conditions in the infinite horizon case).

The introduction of EBSDEs allow us to treat Banach valued state equations with general monotone nonlinear term and possibly degenerate noise. Non-degeneracy is replaced by a structure condition as it usually happens in BSDEs approach, see, for instance, [9], [12]. Moreover the use of L^∞ estimates specific to infinite horizon backward stochastic differential equations (see [4], [22], [16]) allow us to eliminate conditions on the lipschitz constant of the hamiltonian. On the other side we will only consider bounded cost functionals.

To start being more precise we consider a forward equation

$$dX_t^x = (AX_t^x + F(X_t^x))dt + GdW_t, \qquad X_0 = x$$

where X has values in a Banach space E, F maps E to E and A generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions. Appropriate dissipativity assumptions on $A+F$ ensure the exponential decay of the difference between the trajectories starting from different points $x, x' \in E$.

Then we introduce the class of strictly monotonic backward stochastic differential equations

$$Y_t^{x,\alpha} = Y_T^{x,\alpha} + \int_t^T (\psi(X_\sigma^x, Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha}) - \alpha Y_\sigma^{x,\alpha})d\sigma - \int_t^T Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha} dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \le t \le T < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ (see [4], [22] or [16]) where $\psi : E \times \Xi^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded in the first variable and Lipschitz in the second. By estimates based on a Girsanov argument introduced in [4] we obtain uniform estimates on $\alpha Y^{x,\alpha}$ and $Y^{x,\alpha} - Y^{x',\alpha}$ that allow us to prove that, roughly speaking, $(Y^{x,\alpha} - Y_0^{0,\alpha}, Z^{x,\alpha}, \alpha Y_0^{0,\alpha})$ converge to a solution (Y^x, Z^x, λ) of the EBSDE (1.1), for all $x \in E$.

We also show that λ is unique under very general conditions. On the contrary, in general we can not expect uniqueness of the solution to (1.1), at least in the non markovian case. On the other side in the markovian case we show that we can find a solution of (1.1) with $Y_t^x = v(X_t^x)$ and $Z_t^x = \zeta(X_t^x)$ where v is Lipschitz and $v(0) = 0$. Moreover (v, ζ) are unique at least in a special case where ψ is the Hamiltonian of a control problem and the processes X^x are recurrent (see Section 8 where we adapt an argument from [15]).

If we further assume differentiability of F and ψ (in the Gateaux sense) then v is differentiable, moreover $\zeta = \nabla v G$ and finally (v, λ) give a mild solution of the HJB equation

$$\mathcal{L}v(x) + \psi\left(x, \nabla v(x) G\right) = \lambda, \quad x \in E, \tag{1.3}$$

where linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ is formally defined by

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \frac{1}{2} Trace\left(GG^* \nabla^2 f(x)\right) + \langle Ax, \nabla f(x)\rangle_{E,E^*} + \langle F(x), \nabla f(x)\rangle_{E,E^*}.$$

Moreover if the Kolmogorov semigroup satisfies the smoothing property in Definition 5.1 and F is genuinely dissipative (see Definition 5.2) then v is bounded.

The above results are then applied to a control problem with cost

$$J(x,u) = \limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T L(X_s^x, u_s) ds, \tag{1.4}$$

where u is an adapted process (an admissible control) with values in a separable metric space U, and the state equation is a Banach valued evolution equation of the form

$$dX_t^x = (AX_t^x + F(X_t^x))\, dt + G(dW_t + R(u_t)\, dt),$$

where $R : U \to \Xi$ is bounded. It is clear that the above functional depends only on the asymptotic behavior of the trajectories of X^x. After appropriate formulation we prove that, setting $\psi(x,z) = \inf_{u\in U}[L(x,u) + zR(u)]$ in (1.1), then λ is optimal, that is

$$\lambda = \inf_u J(x,u)$$

where the infimum is over all admissible controls. Moreover Z allows to construct on optimal feedback in the sense that

$$\lambda = J(x,u) \text{ if and only if } L(X_t^x, u_t) + Z_t R(u_t) = \psi(X_t^x, Z_t).$$

Finally, see Section 9, we show that our assumptions allow us to treat ergodic optimal control problems for a stochastic heat equation with polynomial nonlinearity and space-time white noise. We notice that the Banach space setting is essential in order to treat nonlinear terms with superlinear growth in the state equation.

The paper is organized as follows. After a section on notation, we introduce the forward SDE; in section 4 we study the ergodic BSDEs; in section 5 we show in addition the differentiability of the solution assuming that the coefficient is Gateaux differentiable. In section 6 we study the ergodic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation and we apply our result to optimal ergodic control in section 7. Section 8 is devoted to show the uniqueness of Markovian solution and the last section contains application to the ergodic control of a nonlinear stochastic heat equation.

2 Notation

Let E, F be Banach spaces, H a Hilbert space, all assumed to be defined over the real field and to be separable. The norms and the scalar product will be denoted $|\cdot|$, $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$, with subscripts if needed. Duality between the dual space E^* and E is denoted $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle_{E^*,E}$. $L(E,F)$ is the space of linear bounded operators $E \to F$, with the operator norm. The domain of a linear (unbounded) operator A is denoted $D(A)$.

Given a bounded function $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$ we denote $\|\phi\|_0 = \sup_{x\in E} |\phi(x)|$. If, in addition, ϕ is also Lipschitz continuous then $\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{lip}} = \|\phi\|_0 + \sup_{x,x'\in E,\, x\neq x'} |\phi(x) - \phi(x')||x - x'|^{-1}$.

We say that a function $F : E \to F$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{G}^1(E,F)$ if it is continuous, has a Gateaux differential $\nabla F(x) \in L(E,F)$ at any point $x \in E$, and for every $k \in E$ the mapping $x \to \nabla F(x)k$ is continuous from E to F (i.e. $x \to \nabla F(x)$ is continuous from E to $L(E,F)$ if the latter space is endowed the strong operator topology). In connection with stochastic equations, the space $\mathcal{G}^1$ has been introduced in [12], to which we refer the reader for further properties.

Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ we consider the following classes of stochastic processes with values in a real separable Banach space K.

1. $L^p_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, C([0,T],K))$, $p \in [1,\infty)$, $T > 0$, is the space of predictable processes Y with continuous paths on $[0,T]$ such that
$$|Y|^p_{L^p_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega,C([0,T],E))} = \mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t|^p_K < \infty.$$
2. $L^p_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T];K))$, $p \in [1,\infty)$, $T > 0$, is the space of predictable processes Y on $[0,T]$ such that
$$|Y|^p_{L^p_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega,L^2([0,T];K))} = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |Y_t|^2_K \, dt \right)^{p/2} < \infty.$$
3. $L^2_{\mathcal{P},\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;K))$ is the space of predictable processes Y on $[0,\infty)$ that belong to the space $L^2_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T];K))$ for every $T > 0$.

3 The forward equation

In a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, we consider the following stochastic differential equation with values in a Banach space E:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + F(X_t)dt + GdW_t, & t \geq 0, \\ X_0 = x \in E. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We assume that E is continuously and densely embedded in a Hilbert space H, and that both spaces are real separable.

We will work under the following general assumptions:

Hypothesis 3.1 *1. The operator A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions in E. We assume that the semigroup $\{e^{tA},\, t \geq 0\}$ of bounded linear operators on E generated by A admits an extension to a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on H that we denote by $\{S(t),\, t \geq 0\}$.*

2. W is a cylindrical Wiener process in another real separable Hilbert space Ξ. Moreover by $\mathcal{F}_t$ we denote the σ-algebra generated by $\{W_s,\ s \in [0,t]\}$ and by the sets of $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}$-measure zero.

3. *$F : E \to E$ is continuous and has polynomial growth (that is there exist $c > 0, k \geq 0$ such that $|F(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|^k)$, $x \in E$). Moreover there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $A + F + \eta I$ is dissipative.*

4. *G is a bounded linear operator from Ξ to H. The bounded linear, positive and symmetric operators on H defined by the formula*

$$Q_t h = \int_0^t S(s)GG^*S^*(s)h\,ds, \qquad t \geq 0,\ h \in H,$$

are assumed to be of trace class in H. Consequently we can define the stochastic convolution

$$W_t^A = \int_0^t S(t-s)GdW_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

as a family of H-valued stochastic integrals. We assume that the process $\{W_t^A,\, t \geq 0\}$ admits an E-continuous version.

We recall that, for every $x \in E$, with $x \neq 0$, the subdifferential of the norm at x, $\partial(|x|)$, is the set of functionals $x^* \in E^*$ such that $\langle x^*, x\rangle_{E^*,E} = |x|$ and $|x^*|_{E^*} = 1$. If $x = 0$ then $\partial(|x|)$ is the set of functionals $x^* \in E^*$ such that $|x^*|_{E^*} \leq 1$. The dissipativity assumption on $A + F$ can be explicitly stated as follows: for $x, x' \in D(A) \subset E$ there exists $x^* \in \partial(|x - x'|)$ such that

$$\left\langle x^*, A(x - x') + F(x) - F(x')\right\rangle_{E^*,E} \leq -\eta\,|x - x'|\,.$$

We can state the following theorem, see e.g. [6], theorem 7.13 and [7], theorem 5.5.13.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 holds true. Then for every $x \in E$ equation (3.1) admits a unique mild solution, that is an adapted E-valued process with continuous paths satisfying $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.*

$$X_t = e^{tA}x + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}F(X_s)\,ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}GdW_s, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

We denote the solution by X^x, $x \in E$.

Now we want to investigate the dependence of the solution on the initial datum.

Proposition 3.3 *Under Hypothesis 3.1 it holds:*

$$|X_t^{x_1} - X_t^{x_2}| \leq e^{-\eta t}\,|x_1 - x_2|\,,\ t \geq 0,\ \ x_1, x_2 \in E.$$

Proof. Let $X_1(t) = X_t^{x_1}$ and $X_2(t) = X_t^{x_2}$, $x_1, x_2 \in E$. For $i = 1, 2$ we set $X_i^n(t) = J_n X_i(t)$, where $J_n = n(nI - A)^{-1}$. Since $X_i^n(t) \in D(A)$ for every $t \geq 0$, and

$$X_i^n(t) = e^{tA}J_n x_i + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}J_n F(X_i(s))\,ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}J_n GdW_s,$$

we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)\right) = A\left(X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)\right) + J_n\left[F(X_1(t)) - F(X_2(t))\right].$$

So, by proposition II.8.5 in [24] also $|X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)|$ admits the left and right derivatives with respect to t and there exists $x_n^*(t) \in \partial(|X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)|)$ such that the left derivative of $|X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)|$ satisfies the following

$$\frac{d^-}{dt}\left|X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)\right| = \left\langle x_n^*(t), \frac{d}{dt}\left(X_1^n(t) - X_2^n(t)\right)\right\rangle_{E^*,E}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^-}{dt}\left|X_1^n(t)-X_2^n(t)\right| &= \left\langle x_n^*(t), A\left(X_1^n(t)-X_2^n(t)\right)+F\left(X_1^n(t)\right)-F\left(X_2^n(t)\right)\right\rangle_{E^*,E}\\ &\quad+\left\langle x_n^*(t), J_nF\left(X_1(t)\right)-F\left(X_1^n(t)\right)\right\rangle_{E^*,E}\\ &\quad-\left\langle x_n^*(t), J_nF\left(X_2(t)\right)-F\left(X_2^n(t)\right)\right\rangle_{E^*,E}\\ &\leq -\eta\left|X_1^n(t)-X_2^n(t)\right|+\left|\delta_1^n(t)-\delta_2^n(t)\right|,\end{aligned}$$

where for $i=1,2$ we have set $\delta_i^n(t)=J_nF\left(X_i(t)\right)-F\left(X_i^n(t)\right)$.

Multiplying the above by $e^{\eta t}$ we get

$$\frac{d^-}{dt}\left(e^{\eta t}\left|X_1^n(t)-X_2^n(t)\right|\right)\leq e^{\eta t}\left|\delta_1^n(t)-\delta_2^n(t)\right|.$$

We note that $\delta_i^n(t)$ tends to 0 uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$ for arbitrary $T>0$. Indeed,

$$\delta_i^n(t)=nR(n,A)\left[F\left(X_i(t)\right)-F\left(X_i^n(t)\right)\right]+\left(nR(n,A)-I\right)F\left(X_i(t)\right),$$

and the convergence to 0 follows by a classical argument, see e.g. the proof of theorem 7.10 in [6], since $X_i^n(t)$ tends to $X_i(t)$ uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$ and the maps $t\mapsto X_i(t)$ and $t\mapsto F\left(X_i(t)\right)$ are continuous with respect to t.

Thus letting $n\to\infty$ we can conclude

$$\left|X_1(t)-X_2(t)\right|\leq e^{-\eta t}\left|x_1-x_2\right|.$$

and the claim is proved. ■

We will also need the following assumptions.

Hypothesis 3.4 *We have* $\sup_{t\geq 0}\mathbb{E}\,|W_t^A|^2<\infty$.

Hypothesis 3.5 $e^{tA}G(\Xi)\subset E$ *for all* $t>0$ *and* $\displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty}|e^{tA}G|_{L(\Xi,E)}dt<\infty$.

We recall that for arbitrary gaussian random variabile Y with values in the Banach space E, the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\,\phi(|Y|-\mathbb{E}\,|Y|)\leq\mathbb{E}\,\phi(2\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\,|Y|^2}\,\gamma)$$

holds for any convex nonnegative continuous function ϕ on E and for γ a real standard gaussian random variable, see e.g. [10], Example 3.1.2. Upon taking $\phi(x)=|x|^p$, it follows that for every $p\geq 2$ there exists $c_p>0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\,|Y|^p\leq c_p(\mathbb{E}\,|Y|^2)^{p/2}$. By the gaussian character of W_t^A and the polynomial growth condition on F stated in Hypothesis 3.1, point 3, we see that Hypothesis 3.4 entails that for every $p\geq 2$

$$\sup_{t\geq 0}\mathbb{E}\left[|W_t^A|^p+|F(W_t^A)|^p\right]<\infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.6 *Under Hypothesis 3.1 it holds, for arbitrary* $T>0$ *and arbitrary* $p\geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^x|^p\leq C_{p,T}(1+|x|^p),\qquad x\in E. \tag{3.3}$$

If, in addition, Hypothesis 3.4 holds then, for a suitable constant C

$$\sup_{t\geq 0}\mathbb{E}|X_t^x|\leq C(1+|x|),\qquad x\in E. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover if, in addition, Hypothesis 3.5 holds, γ is a bounded, adapted, Ξ-valued process and $X^{x,\gamma}$ is the mild solution of equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{x,\gamma} = AX_t^{x,\gamma}dt + F(X_t^{x,\gamma})dt + GdW_t + G\gamma_t\,dt, & t \geq 0, \\ X_0^{x,\gamma} = x \in E. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

then it is still true that

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbb{E}|X_t^{x,\gamma}| \leq C_\gamma(1+|x|), \qquad x \in E, \tag{3.6}$$

for a suitable constant C_γ depending only on a uniform bound for γ.

Proof. We let $Z_t = X_t^x - W_t^A$, $Z_t^n = J_n Z_t$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}Z_t^n = AZ_t^n + J_nF(X_t^x) = AZ_t^n + \left[F(Z_t^n + J_nW_t^A) - F(J_nW_t^A)\right] + F(W_t^A) + \delta_t^n$$

where

$$\delta_t^n = J_nF(X_t^x) - F(J_nX_t^x) + F(J_nW_t^A) - F(W_t^A).$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 observing that, for all $t > 0$, $\displaystyle\int_0^t |\delta_s^n| ds \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we get:

$$|Z_t| \leq e^{-\eta t}|x| + \int_0^t e^{-\eta(t-s)}|F(W_s^A)|ds, \quad \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.}$$

and (3.4) follows from (3.2).

In the case in which X^x is replaced by $X^{x,\gamma}$ the proof is exactly the same just replacing W_t^A by $W_t^{A,\gamma} = W_t^A + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}G\gamma_s ds$.

Finally to prove (3.3) we notice that (see the discussion in [17]) the process W^A is a Gaussian random variable with values in $C([0,T],E)$. Therefore by the polynomial growth of F we get

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left[|W_t^A|^p + |F(W_t^A)|^p\right] \leq C_{p,T}(1+|x|^p),$$

and the claim follows as above. ■

Finally the following result is proved exactly as Theorem 6.3.3. in [7].

Theorem 3.7 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1 and 3.4 hold then equation (3.1) has a unique invariant measure in E that we will denote by μ. Moreover μ is strongly mixing (that is, for all $x \in E$, the law of X_t^x converges weakly to μ as $t \to \infty$). Finally there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any bounded Lipschitz function $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\phi(X_t^x) - \int_E \phi\,d\mu\right| \leq C(1+|x|)e^{-\eta t/2}\|\phi\|_{\text{lip}}.$$

4 Ergodic BSDEs (EBSDEs)

This section is devoted to the following type of BSDEs with infinite horizon

$$Y_t^x = Y_T^x + \int_t^T [\psi(X_\sigma^x, Z_\sigma^x) - \lambda]\,d\sigma - \int_t^T Z_\sigma^x\,dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T < \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

where λ is a real number and is part of the unknowns of the problem; the equation is required to hold for every t and T as indicated. On the function $\psi : E \times \Xi^* \to \mathbb{R}$ and assume the following:

Hypothesis 4.1 *There exists* $K_x, K_z > 0$ *such that*

$$|\psi(x,z) - \psi(x',z')| \leq K_x|x-x'| + K_z|z-z'|, \qquad x,x' \in E,\ z,z' \in \Xi^*.$$

Moreover $\psi(\,\cdot\,,0)$ *is bounded. We denote* $\sup_{x\in E}|\psi(x,0)|$ *by* M.

We start by considering an infinite horizon equation with strictly monotonic drift, namely, for $\alpha > 0$, the equation

$$Y_t^{x,\alpha} = Y_T^{x,\alpha} + \int_t^T (\psi(X_\sigma^x, Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha}) - \alpha Y_\sigma^{x,\alpha})d\sigma - \int_t^T Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha} dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T < \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

The existence and uniqueness of solution to (4.2) under Hypothesis 4.1 was first studied by Briand and Hu in [4] and then generalized by Royer in [22]. They have established the following result when W is a finite dimensional Wiener process but the extension to the case in which W is a Hilbert-valued Wiener process is immediate (see also [16]).

Lemma 4.2 *Let us suppose that Hypotheses 3.1 and 4.1 hold. Then there exists a unique solution* $(Y^{x,\alpha}, Z^{x,\alpha})$ *to BSDE (4.2) such that* $Y^{x,\alpha}$ *is a bounded continuous process, and* $Z^{x,\alpha}$ *belongs to* $L^2_{\mathcal{P},\text{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;\Xi^*))$.

Moreover $|Y_t^{x,\alpha}| \leq M/\alpha$, $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s. for all* $t \geq 0$.

We define

$$v^\alpha(x) = Y_0^{\alpha,x}.$$

We notice that by the above $|v^\alpha(x)| \leq M/\alpha$ for all $x \in E$. Moreover by the uniqueness of the solution of equation (4.2) it follows that $Y_t^{\alpha,x} = v^\alpha(X_t^x)$

To establish Lipschitz continuity of v^α (uniformly in α) we use a Girsanov argument due to P. Briand and Y. Hu, see [4]. Here and in the following we use an infinite-dimensional version of the Girsanov formula that can be found for instance in [6].

Lemma 4.3 *Under Hypotheses 3.1 and 4.1 the following holds for any* $\alpha > 0$*:*

$$|v^\alpha(x) - v^\alpha(x')| \leq \frac{K_x}{\eta}|x-x'|, \qquad x,x' \in E.$$

Proof. We briefly report the argument for the reader's convenience.

We set $\tilde{Y} = Y^{\alpha,x} - Y^{\alpha,x'}$, $\tilde{Z} = Z^{\alpha,x} - Z^{\alpha,x'}$,

$$\beta_t = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\psi(X_t^{x'}, Z_t^{\alpha,x'}) - \psi(X_t^{x'}, Z_t^{\alpha,x})}{|Z_t^{\alpha,x} - Z_t^{\alpha,x'}|^2_{\Xi^*}} \left(Z_t^{\alpha,x} - Z_t^{\alpha,x'}\right)^*, & \text{if } Z_t^{\alpha,x} \neq Z_t^{\alpha,x'} \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

$$f_t = \psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{x,\alpha}) - \psi(X_t^{x'}, Z_t^{x,\alpha}).$$

By Hypothesis 4.1, β is a bounded Ξ-valued, adapted process thus there exists a probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ under which $\tilde{W}_t = \int_0^t \beta_s ds + W_t$ is a cylindrical Ξ-valued Wiener process for $t \in [0,T]$. Then $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$ verify, for all $0 \leq t \leq T < \infty$,

$$\tilde{Y}_t = \tilde{Y}_T - \alpha \int_t^T \tilde{Y}_\sigma d\sigma + \int_t^T f_\sigma d\sigma - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_\sigma d\tilde{W}_\sigma. \tag{4.3}$$

Computing $d(e^{-\alpha t}\tilde{Y}_t)$, integrating over $[0,T]$, estimating the absolute value and finally taking the conditional expectation $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathcal{F}_t}$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t$ we get:

$$|\tilde{Y}_t| \leq e^{-\alpha(T-t)}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathcal{F}_t}|\tilde{Y}_T| + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\int_t^T e^{-\alpha(s-t)}|f_s|ds$$

Now we recall that $\tilde{Y}$ is bounded and that $|f_t| \leq K_x|X_t^x - X_t^{x'}| \leq K_x e^{-\eta t}|x-x'|$ by Proposition 3.3. Thus if $T \to \infty$ we get $|\tilde{Y}_t| \leq K_x(\eta+\alpha)^{-1}e^{\alpha t}|x-x'|$ and the claim follows setting $t=0$. ■

By the above Lemma if we set

$$\overline{v}^{\alpha}(x) = v^{\alpha}(x) - v^{\alpha}(0),$$

then $|\overline{v}^{\alpha}(x)| \leq K_x\eta^{-1}|x|$ for all $x \in E$ and all $\alpha > 0$. Moreover by Lemma 4.2 $\alpha|v^{\alpha}(0)| \leq M$. Thus by a diagonal procedure we can construct a sequence $\alpha_n \searrow 0$ such that for all x in a countable dense subset $D \subset E$

$$\overline{v}^{\alpha_n}(x) \to \overline{v}(x), \qquad \alpha_n v^{\alpha_n}(0) \to \overline{\lambda}, \tag{4.4}$$

for a suitable function $\overline{v} : D \to \mathbb{R}$ and for a suitable real number $\overline{\lambda}$.

Moreover, by Lemma 4.3, $|\overline{v}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{v}^{\alpha}(x')| \leq K_x\eta^{-1}|x-x'|$ for all $x, x' \in E$ and all $\alpha > 0$. So $\overline{v}$ can be extended to a Lipschitz function defined on the whole E (with Lipschitz constant $K_x\eta^{-1}$) and

$$\overline{v}^{\alpha_n}(x) \to \overline{v}(x), \qquad x \in E. \tag{4.5}$$

Theorem 4.4 *Assume Hypotheses 3.1 and 4.1 hold. Moreover let $\bar{\lambda}$ be the real number in (4.4) and define $\bar{Y}_t^x = \overline{v}(X_t^x)$ (where $\overline{v}$ is the Lipschitz function with $\overline{v}(0) = 0$ defined in (4.5)). Then there exists a process $\overline{Z}^x \in L^2_{\mathcal{P},\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;\Xi^*))$ such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. the EBSDE (4.1) is satisfied by $(\bar{Y}^x, \bar{Z}^x, \bar{\lambda})$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.*

Moreover there exists a measurable function $\overline{\zeta} : E \to \Xi^*$ such that $\overline{Z}_t^x = \overline{\zeta}(X_t^x)$.

Proof. Let $\overline{Y}_t^{x,\alpha} = Y_t^{x,\alpha} - v^{\alpha}(0) = \overline{v}^{\alpha}(X_t^x)$. Clearly we have, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\overline{Y}_t^{x,\alpha} = \overline{Y}_T^{x,\alpha} + \int_t^T (\psi(X_\sigma^x, Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha}) - \alpha\overline{Y}_\sigma^{x,\alpha} - \alpha v^{\alpha}(0))d\sigma - \int_t^T Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha}dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T < \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $|\overline{v}^{\alpha}(x)| \leq K_x|x|/\eta$, inequality (3.3) ensures that $\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left[\sup_{\alpha>0}|\overline{Y}_t^{x,\alpha}|^2\right] < +\infty$ for any $T > 0$. Thus, if we define $\overline{Y}^x = \overline{v}(X^x)$, then by dominated convergence theorem

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\overline{Y}_t^{x,\alpha_n} - \overline{Y}_t^x|^2 dt \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}|\overline{Y}_T^{x,\alpha_n} - \overline{Y}_T^x|^2 \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ (where $\alpha_n \searrow 0$ is a sequence for which (4.4) and (4.5) hold).

We claim now that there exists $\overline{Z}^x \in L^2_{\mathcal{P},\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;\Xi^*))$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |Z_t^{x,\alpha_n} - \overline{Z}_t^x|^2_{\Xi^*}dt \to 0$$

Let $\tilde{Y} = \bar{Y}^{x,\alpha_n} - \bar{Y}^{x,\alpha_m}$, $\tilde{Z} = Z^{x,\alpha_n} - Z^{x,\alpha_m}$. Applying Itô's rule to $\tilde{Y}^2$ we get by standard computations

$$\tilde{Y}_0^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\tilde{Z}_t|^2_{\Xi^*}dt = \mathbb{E}\tilde{Y}_T^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \tilde{\psi}_t\tilde{Y}_t dt - 2\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left[\alpha_n Y_t^{x,\alpha_n} - \alpha_m Y_t^{x,\alpha_m}\right]\tilde{Y}_t\, dt$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_t = \psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{x,\alpha_n}) - \psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{x,\alpha_m})$. We notice that $|\tilde{\psi}_t| \le K_z|\tilde{Z}_t|$ and $\alpha_n|Y_t^{x,\alpha_n}| \le M$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\tilde{Z}_t|^2_{\Xi^*}dt \le c\left[\mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_T^x)^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T (\tilde{Y}_t^x)^2 dt + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\tilde{Y}_t^x| dt\right].$$

It follows that the sequence $\{Z^{x,\alpha_m}\}$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega; L^2(0,T;\Xi^*))$ for all $T > 0$ and our claim is proved.

Now we can pass to the limit as $n \to \infty$ in equation (4.6) to obtain

$$\overline{Y}_t^x = \overline{Y}_T^x + \int_t^T (\psi(X_\sigma^x, \overline{Z}_\sigma^x) - \overline{\lambda})d\sigma - \int_t^T \overline{Z}_\sigma^x dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \le t \le T < \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

We notice that the above equation also ensures continuity of the trajectories of $\overline{Y}$ It remains now to prove that we can find a measurable function $\bar{\zeta} : E \to \Xi^*$ such that $\overline{Z}_t^x = \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x)$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for almost every $t \ge 0$.

By a general argument, see for instance [11], we know that for all $\alpha > 0$ there exists $\zeta^\alpha : E \to \Xi^*$ such that $Z_t^{x,\alpha} = \zeta^\alpha(X_t^x)$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for almost every $t \ge 0$.

To construct ζ we need some more regularity of the processes $Z^{x,\alpha}$ with respect to x.

If we compute $d(Y_t^{x,\alpha} - Y_t^{x',\alpha})^2$ we get by the Lipschitz character of ψ:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |Z_t^{x,\alpha} - Z_t^{x',\alpha}|^2_{\Xi^*}dt &\le \mathbb{E}(v^\alpha(X_T^x) - v^\alpha(X_T^{x'}))^2 \\ &+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left(K_x|X_s^x - X_s^{x'}| + K_z|Z_s^{x,\alpha} - Z_s^{x',\alpha}|\right)\left|v^\alpha(X_s^x) - v^\alpha(X_s^{x'})\right| ds\end{aligned}$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of v^α (uniform in α) that of ψ and Proposition 3.3 we immediately get:

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |Z_t^{x,\alpha} - Z_t^{x',\alpha}|^2_{\Xi^*}dt \le c|x - x'|^2. \tag{4.8}$$

for a suitable constant c (that may depend on T).

Now we fix an arbitrary $T > 0$ and, by a diagonal procedure (using separability of E) we construct a subsequence $(\alpha'_n) \subset (\alpha_n)$ such that $\alpha'_n \searrow 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |Z_t^{x,\alpha'_n} - Z_t^{x',\alpha'_m}|^2_{\Xi^*}dt \le 2^{-n}$$

for all $m \ge n$ and for all $x \in E$. Consequently $Z_t^{x,\alpha'_n} \to \overline{Z}_t^x$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. Then we set:

$$\bar{\zeta}(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_n \zeta^{\alpha'_n}(x), & \text{if the limit exists in } \Xi^*, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.}\end{cases}$$

Since $Z_t^{x,\alpha'_n} = \zeta^{\alpha'_n}(X_t^x) \to \overline{Z}_t^x$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$ we immediately get that, for all $x \in E$, the process X_t^x belongs $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$ to the set where $\lim_n \zeta^{\alpha'_n}(x)$ exists and consequently $\overline{Z}_t^x = \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x)$. ■

Remark 4.5 We notice that the solution we have constructed above has the following "linear growth" property with respect to X: there exists $c > 0$ such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$|\overline{Y}_t^x| \le c|X_t^x| \text{ for all } t \ge 0. \tag{4.9}$$

If we require similar conditions then we immediately obtain uniqueness of λ.

Theorem 4.6 *Assume that, in addition to Hypotheses 3.1, 3.4 and 4.1, Hypothesis 3.5 holds as well. Moreover suppose that, for some* $x \in E$*, the triple* (Y', Z', λ') *verifies* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s. equation (4.1) for all* $0 \leq t \leq T$*, where* Y' *is a progressively measurable continuous process,* Z' *is a process in* $L^2_{\mathcal{P},\text{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;\Xi^*))$ *and* $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}$*. Finally assume that there exists* $c_x > 0$ *(that may depend on* x*) such that* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.*

$$|Y'_t| \leq c_x(|X^x_t| + 1), \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then $\lambda' = \bar{\lambda}$*.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda' - \lambda$, $\tilde{Y} = Y' - \overline{Y}^x$, $\tilde{Z} = Z' - \overline{Z}^x$. By easy computations:

$$\tilde{\lambda} = T^{-1}\left[\tilde{Y}_T - \tilde{Y}_0\right] + T^{-1}\int_0^T \tilde{Z}_t\gamma_t dt - T^{-1}\int_0^T \tilde{Z}_t dW_t$$

where

$$\gamma_t := \begin{cases} \dfrac{\psi(X^x_t, Z'_t) - \psi(X^x_t, \overline{Z}^x_t)}{|Z'_t - \overline{Z}_t|^2_{\Xi^*}} \left(Z'_t - \overline{Z}_t\right)^*, & \text{if } Z'_t \neq \overline{Z}_t, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere }, \end{cases}$$

is a bounded Ξ-valued progressively measurable process. By the Girsanov Theorem there exists a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_\gamma$ under which $W^\gamma_t = -\int_0^t \gamma_s ds + W_t$, $t \in [0,T]$, is a cylindrical Wiener process in Ξ. Thus computing expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}_\gamma$ we get

$$\tilde{\lambda} = T^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}\left[\tilde{Y}_T - \tilde{Y}_0\right].$$

Consequently, taking into account (4.9),

$$|\tilde{\lambda}| \leq cT^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}(|X^x_T| + 1) + cT^{-1}(|x| + 1) \tag{4.10}$$

With respect to W^γ, X^x is the mild solution of

$$\begin{cases} dX^{x,\gamma}_t = AX^{x,\gamma}_t dt + F(X^{x,\gamma}_t)dt + GdW^\gamma_t + G\gamma_t\, dt, \quad t \geq 0 \\ X^{x,\gamma}_0 = x \in E. \end{cases}$$

and by (3.6) we get $\sup_{T>0}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}|X^x_T| < \infty$. So if we let $T \to \infty$ in (4.10) we conclude that $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$.
■

Remark 4.7 The solution to EBSDE (4.1) is, in general, not unique. It is evident that the equation is invariant with respect to addition of a constant to Y but we can also construct an arbitrary number of solutions that do not differ only by a constant (even if we require them to be bounded). On the contrary the solutions we construct are not Markovian.

Indeed, consider the equation:

$$-dY_t = [\psi(Z_t) - \lambda]dt - Z_t dW_t. \tag{4.11}$$

where W is a standard brownian motion and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable bounded and has bounded derivative.

One solution is $Y = 0; Z = 0; \lambda = \psi(0)$ (without loss of generality we can suppose that $\psi(0) = 0$).

Let now $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary differentiable function bounded and with bounded derivative. The following BSDE on $[t,T]$ admits a solution:

$$\begin{cases} -dY^{x,t}_s &= \psi(Z^{x,t}_s)ds - Z^{x,t}_s dW_s, \\ Y^{x,t}_T &= \phi(x + W_T - W_t). \end{cases}$$

If we define $u(t,x) = Y_t^{x,t}$ then both u and ∇u are bounded. Moreover if $\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t^{0,0} = u(t,W_t)$, $\tilde{Z}_t = Z_t^{0,0} = \nabla u(t,W_t)$ then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -d\tilde{Y}_t &=& \psi(\tilde{Z}_t)dt - \tilde{Z}_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \tilde{Y}_T &=& \phi(W_T). \end{array} \right.$$

Then it is enough to extend with $\tilde{Y}_t = \tilde{Y}_T$, $\tilde{Z}_t = 0$ for $t > T$ to construct a bounded solution to (4.11).

Remark 4.8 The existence result in Theorem 4.4 can be easily extended to the case of ψ only satisfying the conditions

$$|\psi(x,z) - \psi(x',z)| \leq K_x|x - x'|, \quad |\psi(x,0)| \leq M, \quad |\psi(x,z)| \leq K_z(1+|z|).$$

Indeed we can construct a sequence $\{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of functions Lipschitz in x and z such that for all $x, x' \in H$, $z \in \Xi^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\psi^n(x,z) - \psi^n(x',z)| \leq K'_x|x - x'|; \quad |\psi^n(x,0)| \leq M'; \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} |\psi^n(x,z) - \psi(x,z)| = 0.$$

This can be done by projecting x to the subspaces generated by a basis in Ξ^* and then regularizing by the standard mollification techniques, see [13]. We know that if $(\bar{Y}^{x,n}, \bar{Z}^{x,n}, \lambda_n)$ is the solution of the EBSDE (4.1) with ψ replaced by ψ^n then $\bar{Y}_t^{x,n} = \bar{v}^n(X_t^x)$ with

$$|\bar{v}^n(x) - \bar{v}^n(x')| \leq \frac{K'_x}{\eta}|x - x'|; \quad \bar{v}^n(0) = 0; \quad |\lambda_n| \leq M'$$

Thus we can assume (considering, if needed, a subsequence) that $\bar{v}^n(x) \to \bar{v}(x)$ and $\lambda_n \to \lambda$. The rest of the proof is identical to the one of Theorem 4.4.

5 Differentiability

We are now interested in the differentiability of the solution to the EBSDE (4.1) with respect to x.

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1 and 4.1 hold. Moreover assume that F is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E,E)$ with ∇F bounded on bounded sets of E. Finally assume that ψ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E\times\Xi^*, E)$. Then the function $\overline{v}$ defined in (4.5) is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E,\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. In [17] it is proved that for arbitrary $T > 0$ the map $x \to X^x$ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1$ from E to $L^p_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, C([0,T],E))$. Moreover Proposition 3.3 ensures that for all $h \in E$,

$$|\nabla X_t^x h| \leq e^{-\eta t}|h|, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., for all } t \in [0,T]. \tag{5.1}$$

Under the previous conditions one can proceed exactly as in Theorem 3.1 of [16] to prove that for all $\alpha > 0$ the map v^α is of class $\mathcal{G}^1$.

Then we consider again equation (4.2):

$$Y_t^{x,\alpha} = Y_T^{x,\alpha} + \int_t^T (\psi(X_\sigma^x, Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha}) - \alpha Y_\sigma^{x,\alpha})d\sigma - \int_t^T Z_\sigma^{x,\alpha} dW_\sigma, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T < \infty,$$

we recall that $Y_T^{x,\alpha} = v^\alpha(X_T^x)$, and apply again [17] (see Proposition 4.2 there) and [12] (see Proposition 5.2 there) to obtain that for all $\alpha > 0$ the map $x \to Y^{x,\alpha}$ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1$ from E to $L^2_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, C([0,T],\mathbb{R}))$ and the map $x \to Z^{x,\alpha}$ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1$ from E to $L^2_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T],\Xi^*))$. Moreover for all $h \in E$ it holds (for all $t > 0$ since T was arbitrary)

$$-d\nabla Y_t^{\alpha,x}h = [\nabla_x\psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{\alpha,x})\nabla X_t^x h + \nabla_z\psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{\alpha,x})\nabla Z_t^{\alpha,x}h - \alpha\nabla Y_t^{\alpha,x}h]dt - \nabla Z^{\alpha,x}h dW_t.$$

We also know that $|Y_t^{\alpha,x}| \leq M/\alpha$. Now we set

$$U_t^{\alpha,x} = e^{\eta t}\nabla Y_t^{\alpha,x}h, \quad V^{\alpha,x} = e^{\eta t}\nabla Z_t^{\alpha,x}h.$$

Then $(U^{\alpha,x}, V^{\alpha,x})$ satisfies the following BSDE:

$$-dU_t^{\alpha,x} \quad = \quad [e^{\eta t}\nabla_x\psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{\alpha,x})\nabla X_t^x - (\alpha+\eta)U_t^{\alpha,x} + \nabla_z\psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{\alpha,x})V_t^{\alpha,x}]dt - V_t^{\alpha,x}dW_t.$$

By (5.1) and the usual Girsanov argument (recall the $\nabla_x\psi$ and $\nabla_z\psi$ are bounded),

$$|U_t^{\alpha,x}| \leq \frac{c}{\alpha+\eta},\ \forall t \geq 0,\ \mathbb{P}-\text{a.s.} \qquad \text{i.e.} \qquad |\nabla Y_t^{x,\alpha}| \leq e^{-\eta t}\frac{c}{\alpha+\eta}.$$

Moreover, consider the limit equation, with unknown (U^x, V^x),

$$-dU_t^x = [e^{\eta t}\nabla_x\psi(X_t^x, \bar{Z}_t^x)\nabla X_t^x - \eta U_t^x + \nabla_z\psi(X_t^x, \bar{Z}_t^x)V^x]dt - V^x dW_t, \tag{5.2}$$

which, since $|e^{\eta t}\nabla_x\psi\nabla_x X_t^x|$ is bounded, has a unique solution such that U^x is bounded and V^x belongs to $L^2_{\mathcal{P},\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;\Xi^*))$ (see [4] and [22]).

We know that for a suitable sequence $\alpha_n \searrow 0$,

$$\bar{v}^\alpha(x) = Y_0^{x,\alpha_n} - Y_0^{0,\alpha_n} \to \bar{Y}_0^x,$$

and we claim now that

$$\nabla\bar{v}^{\alpha_n}(x) = \nabla Y_0^{x,\alpha_n} = U_0^{x,\alpha_n} \to U_0^x.$$

To prove this we introduce the finite horizon equations: for $t \in [0,N]$,

$$\begin{cases} -dU_t^{x,\alpha,N} = [e^{\eta t}\nabla_x\psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{x,\alpha})\nabla X_t^x - (\alpha+\eta)U_t^{x,\alpha,N} + \nabla_z\psi(X_t^x, Z_t^{x,\alpha})V_t^{x,\alpha,N}]dt \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad -V_t^{x,\alpha,N}dW_t, \\ U_N^{x,\alpha,N} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -dU_t^{x,N} = [e^{\eta t}\nabla_x\psi(X_t^x, \bar{Z}_t^x)\nabla X_t^x - (\alpha+\eta)U_t^{x,N} + \nabla_z\psi(X_t^x, \bar{Z}_t^x)V_t^{x,N}]dt - V_t^{x,N}dW_t, \\ U_N^{x,N} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}\int_0^N |Z_s^{x,\alpha_n} - \bar{Z}_s^x|^2 ds \to 0$ it is easy to verify that, for all fixed $N > 0$, $U_0^{x,\alpha_n,N} \to U_0^{x,N}$.

On the other side a standard application of Girsanov Lemma gives see [16],

$$|U_0^{x,\alpha_n,N} - U_0^{x,\alpha_n}| \leq \frac{c}{\alpha_n+\eta}e^{-\eta N}, \qquad |U_0^{x,N} - U_0^x| \leq \frac{c}{\eta}e^{-\eta N}.$$

for a suitable constant c.

Thus a standard argument implies $U_0^{x,\alpha_n} \to U_0^x$. An identical argument also ensures continuity of U_0^x with respect to x (also taking into account 4.8). The proof is therefore completed. ■

As usual in the theory of markovian BSDEs, the differentiability property allows to identify the process $\bar{Z}^x$ as a function of the process X^x. To deal with our Banach space setting we need to make the following extra assumption:

Hypothesis 5.2 *There exists a Banach space Ξ_0, densely and continuously embedded in Ξ, such that $G\left(\Xi_0\right) \subset \Xi$ and $G : \Xi_0 \to E$ is continuous.*

We note that this condition is satisfied in most applications. In particular it is trivially true in the special case $E = H$ just by taking $\Xi_0 = \Xi$, since G is assumed to be a linear bounded operator from Ξ to H. The following is proved in [17, Theorem 3.17]:

Theorem 5.3 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1, 4.1 and 5.2 hold. Moreover assume that F is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E, E)$ with ∇F bounded on bounded subsets of E and ψ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E \times \Xi^*, E)$. Then $\bar{Z}^x_t = \nabla \bar{v}(X^x_t)G$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for a.e. $t \geq 0$.*

Remark 5.4 We notice that $\nabla \bar{v}(x)G\xi$ is only defined for $\xi \in \Xi_0$ in general, and the conclusion of Theorem 5.3 should be stated more precisely as follows: for $\xi \in \Xi_0$ the equality $Z^x_t\xi = \nabla \bar{v}(X^x_t)G\xi$ holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for almost every $t \geq 0$. However, since $\bar{Z}^x$ is a process with values in Ξ^*, and more specifically a process in $L^2_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0, T], \Xi^*))$, it follows that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and for almost every t the operator $\xi \to \nabla \bar{v}(X^x_t)G\xi$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator defined on the whole Ξ. Equivalently, for almost every t and for almost all $x \in E$ (with respect to the law of X_t) the linear operator $\xi \to \nabla \bar{v}(x)G\xi$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator defined on the whole Ξ (see also Remark 3.18 in [17]).

Remark 5.5 The above representation together with the fact that $\bar{v}$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $K_x\eta^{-1}$ immediately implies that, if F is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E, E)$ and ψ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E\times\Xi^*, E)$, then $|\bar{Z}^x_t|_{\Xi^*_0} \leq K_x\eta^{-1}|G|_{L(\Xi_0,E)}$ for all $x \in E$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for almost every $t \geq 0$. Consequently we can construct $\bar{\zeta}$ in Theorem 4.4 in such a way that it is bounded in the Ξ^*_0 norm by $K_x\eta^{-1}|G|_{L(\Xi_0,E)}$.

Once this is proved we can extend the result to the case in which ψ is no longer differentiable but only Lipschitz, namely we can prove than even in this case the process $\bar{Z}^x$ is bounded. Indeed if we consider a sequence $\{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of functions of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E \times \Xi^*, E)$ such that for all $x, x' \in H$, $z, z' \in \Xi^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\psi_n(x, z) - \psi_n(x', z')| \leq K_x|x - x'| + K_z|z - z'|; \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} |\psi_n(x, z) - \psi(x, z)| = 0.$$

We know that if $(\bar{Y}^{x,n}, \bar{Z}^{x,n}, \lambda_n)$ is the solution of the EBSDE (4.1) with ψ replaced by ψ_n then $|\bar{Z}^{x,n}_t|_{\Xi^*_0} \leq K_x\eta^{-1}|G|_{L(\Xi_0,E)}$. Then as we did above we can show (showing that the corresponding equations with monotonic generator converge uniformly in α) that $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\bar{Z}^{x,n}_t - \bar{Z}^x_t|^2_{\Xi^*_0} dt \to 0$ and the claim follows.

We also notice that by the same argument we also have $|\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(x)|_{\Xi^*_0} \leq K_x\eta^{-1}|G|_{L(\Xi_0,E)}$, $\forall \alpha > 0$.

Now we introduce the Kolmogorov semigroup corresponding to X: for measurable and bounded $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$P_t[\phi](x) = \mathbb{E}\,\phi(X^x_t) \qquad t \geq 0,\, x \in E. \tag{5.3}$$

Definition 5.1 *The semigroup $(P_t)_{t\geq0}$ is called strongly Feller if for all $t > 0$ there exists k_t such that for all measurable and bounded $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|P_t[\phi](x) - P_t[\phi](x')| \leq k_t\|\phi\|_0|x - x'|, \qquad x, x' \in E,$$

where $\|\phi\|_0 = \sup_{x\in E} |\phi(x)|$.

This terminology is somewhat different from the classical one (namely, that P_t maps measurable bounded functions into continuous ones, for all $t > 0$), but it will be convenient for us.

Definition 5.2 *We say that F is genuinely dissipative if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that, for all $x, x' \in E$, there exists $z^* \in \partial|x - x'|$ such that $< z^*, F(x) - F(x') >_{E^*,E} \leq c|x - x'|^{1+\epsilon}$.*

Lemma 5.6 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1 and 3.4 hold. If the Kolmogorov semigroup (P_t) is strongly Feller then for all bounded measurable $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left|P_t[\phi](x) - \int_E \phi(x)\mu(dx)\right| \leq ce^{-\eta(t/4)}(1 + |x|)\|\phi\|_0.$$

If in addition F is genuinely dissipative then

$$\left|P_t[\phi](x) - \int_E \phi(x)\mu(dx)\right| \leq ce^{-\eta(t/4)}\|\phi\|_0.$$

Proof. We fix $\epsilon > 0$. For $t > 2$ we have, by Theorem 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|P_t[\phi](x) - \int_E \phi(x)\mu(dx)\right| = \left|P_{t-1}[P_1[\phi]](x) - \int_E P_1[\phi](x)\mu(dx)\right| &\leq C(1 + |x|)e^{-\eta t/4}\|P_1[\phi]\|_{\text{lip}} \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|)e^{-\eta t/4}k_1\|\phi\|_0,\end{aligned}$$

and the first claim follows since $\left|P_t[\phi](x) - \int_E \phi(x)\mu(dx)\right| \leq 2\|\phi\|_0$.

If now F is genuinely dissipative then in [7], Theorem 6.4.1 it is shown that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\phi(X_t^x) - \int_E \phi\, d\mu\right| \leq Ce^{-\eta t/2}\|\phi\|_{\text{lip}}$$

and the second claim follows by the same argument. ■

We are now able to state and prove two corollaries of Theorems 5.1 and 5.3.

Corollary 5.7 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1, 3.4, 4.1 and 5.2 hold. Moreover assume that F is of class $\mathcal{G}^1$ with ∇F bounded on bounded subsets of E, and that ψ is bounded on each set $E \times B$, where B is any ball of Ξ_0^*. Finally assume that the Kolmogorov semigroup (P_t) is strongly Feller.*

Then the following holds:

$$\lambda = \int_E \psi(x, \bar{\zeta}(x))\mu(dx),$$

where μ is the unique invariant measure of X.

Proof. First notice that $\overline{\psi} := \psi(\cdot, \bar{\zeta}(\cdot))$ is bounded, by Remark 5.5. Then

$$T^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\bar{Y}_0^x - \bar{Y}_T^x] = T^{-1}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left(\psi(X_t^x, \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x)) - \int_E \bar{\phi}\, d\mu\right) dt + \left(\int_E \bar{\phi}\, d\mu - \lambda\right).$$

We know that $T^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\bar{Y}_0^x - \bar{Y}_T^x] \to 0$, by the argument in Theorem 4.6. Moreover by the first conclusion of Lemma 5.6

$$T^{-1}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left(\psi(X_t^x, \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x)) - \int_E \bar{\phi}\, d\mu\right) dt \to 0,$$

and the claim follows. ■

Corollary 5.8 *In addition to the assumptions of Corollary 5.7 suppose that F is genuinely dissipative. Then $\bar{v}$ is bounded.*

Proof. Let $(Y^{x,\alpha}, Z^{x,\alpha})$ be the solution of (4.2). We know that $Y_t^{x,\alpha} = v^\alpha(X_t^x)$ and $Z_t^{x,\alpha} = \zeta^\alpha(X_t^x)$ with v^α Lipschitz uniformly with respect to α and ζ^α bounded in Ξ^* uniformly with respect to α. Let $\psi^\alpha = \psi(\,\cdot\,, \bar{\zeta}^\alpha(\,\cdot\,))$. Under the present assumptions we conclude that also the maps ψ^α as well are bounded in Ξ^* uniformly with respect to α.

Computing $d(e^{-\alpha t}\bar{Y}_t^{x\alpha})$ we obtain,

$$Y_0^{x,\alpha} = \mathbb{E} e^{-\alpha T} Y_T^{x,\alpha} + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{-\alpha t}\psi^\alpha(X_t^x)dt,$$

and for $T \to \infty$,

$$Y_0^{x,\alpha} = \mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t}\psi^\alpha(X_t^x)dt.$$

Subtracting to both sides $\alpha^{-1}\int_E \psi^\alpha(x)\mu(dx)$ we obtain

$$\left|Y_0^{x,\alpha} - \alpha^{-1}\int_E \psi^\alpha(x)\mu(dx)\right| = \left|\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t}\left[P_t[\psi^\alpha](x) - \int_E \psi^\alpha(x)\mu(dx)\right]dt\right| \le 4c\eta^{-1}\|\psi^\alpha\|_0$$

where the last inequality comes from the second conclusion of Lemma 5.6.

Thus $\left|Y_0^{x,\alpha} - Y_0^{0,\alpha}\right| \le 8c\eta^{-1}\|\psi^\alpha\|_0$ and the claim follows since by construction $Y_0^{x,\alpha} - Y_0^{0,\alpha} \to \bar{v}(x)$. ∎

6 Ergodic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations

We briefly show here that if $\bar{Y}_0^x = \bar{v}(x)$ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1$ then the couple (v, λ) is a mild solution of the following "ergodic" Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation:

$$\mathcal{L}v(x) + \psi\left(x, \nabla v(x)G\right) = \lambda, \quad x \in E, \tag{6.1}$$

Where linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ is formally defined by

$$\mathcal{L}f\left(x\right) = \frac{1}{2}Trace\left(GG^*\nabla^2 f\left(x\right)\right) + \langle Ax, \nabla f\left(x\right)\rangle_{E,E^*} + \langle F\left(x\right), \nabla f\left(x\right)\rangle_{E,E^*},$$

We notice that we can define the transition semigroup $(P_t)_{t\ge0}$ corresponding to X by the formula (5.3) for all measurable functions $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$ having polynomial growth, and we notice that $\mathcal{L}$ is the formal generator of $(P_t)_{t\ge0}$.

Since we are dealing with an elliptic equation it is natural to consider (v, λ) as a mild solution of equation (6.1) if and only if, for arbitrary $T > 0$, $v(x)$ coincides with the mild solution $u(t, x)$ of the corresponding parabolic equation having v as a terminal condition:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u\left(t,x\right) + \psi\left(x, \nabla u\left(t,x\right)G\right) - \lambda = 0, \quad t \in [0,T],\ x \in E, \\ u(T,x) = v(x), \quad x \in E. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Thus we are led to the following definition (see also [14]):

Definition 6.1 *A pair (v, λ) ($v : E \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) is a mild solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (6.1) if the following are satisfied:*

1. $v \in \mathcal{G}^1\left(E, \mathbb{R}\right)$;

2. *there exists* $C > 0$ *such that* $|\nabla v(x) h| \leq C |h|_E \left(1 + |x|_E^k\right)$ *for every* $x, h \in E$ *and some positive integer* k*;*

3. *for* $0 \leq t \leq T$ *and* $x \in E$*,*

$$v(x) = P_{T-t}[v](x) + \int_t^T \left(P_{s-t}[\psi(\cdot, \nabla v(\cdot) G)](x) - \lambda\right) ds. \tag{6.3}$$

In the right-hand side of (6.3) we notice occurrence of the term $\nabla v(\cdot) G$, which is not well defined as a function $E \to \Xi^*$, since G is not required to map Ξ into E. The situation is similar to Remark 5.4. In general, for $x \in E$, $\nabla \bar{v}(x) G \xi$ is only defined for $\xi \in \Xi_0$. In (6.3) it is implicitly required that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and for almost every t, the operator $\xi \to \nabla \bar{v}(X_t^x) G \xi$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator defined on the whole Ξ. Noting that

$$P_t[\psi(\cdot, \nabla v(\cdot) G)](x) = \mathbb{E}\, \psi(X_t^x, \nabla v(X_t^x) G)$$

the equation (6.3) is now meaningful.

Using the results for the parabolic case, see [17], we get existence of the mild solution of equation (6.1) whenever we have proved that the function $\bar{v}$ in Theorem 4.4 is differentiable.

Theorem 6.1 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1, 4.1 and 5.2 hold. Moreover assume that* F *is of class* $\mathcal{G}^1(E, E)$ *with* ∇F *bounded on bounded subsets of* E *and* ψ *is of class* $\mathcal{G}^1(E \times \Xi^*, E)$*.*

Then $(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda})$ *is a mild solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (6.1).*

Conversely, if (v, λ) *is a mild solution of (6.1) then, setting* $Y_t^x = v(X_t^x)$ *and* $Z_t^x = \nabla v(X_t^x) G$*, the triple* (Y^x, Z^x, λ) *is a solution of the EBSDE (4.1).*

7 Optimal ergodic control

Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 holds and let X^x denote the solution to equation (3.1). Let U be a separable metric space. We define a control u as an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-progressively measurable U-valued process. The cost corresponding to a given control is defined in the following way. We assume that the functions $R : U \to \Xi^*$ and $L : E \times U \to \mathbb{R}$ are measurable and satisfy, for some constant $c > 0$,

$$|R(u)| \leq c, \quad |L(x,u)| \leq c, \quad |L(x,u) - L(x',u)| \leq c\,|x - x'|, \qquad u \in U,\ x, x' \in E. \tag{7.1}$$

Given an arbitrary control u and $T > 0$, we introduce the Girsanov density

$$\rho_T^u = \exp\left(\int_0^T R(u_s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |R(u_s)|_{\Xi^*}^2 ds\right)$$

and the probability $\mathbb{P}_T^u = \rho_T^u \mathbb{P}$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$. The ergodic cost corresponding to u and the starting point $x \in E$ is

$$J(x,u) = \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}^{u,T} \int_0^T L(X_s^x, u_s) ds, \tag{7.2}$$

where $\mathbb{E}^{u,T}$ denotes expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}_T^u$. We notice that $W_t^u = W_t - \int_0^t R(u_s) ds$ is a Wiener process on $[0,T]$ under $\mathbb{P}^u$ and that

$$dX_t^x = (AX_t^x + F(X_t^x))dt + G(dW_t^u + R(u_t)dt), \quad t \in [0,T]$$

and this justifies our formulation of the control problem. Our purpose is to minimize the cost over all controls.

To this purpose we first define the Hamiltonian in the usual way

$$\psi(x,z) = \inf_{u \in U}\{L(x,u) + zR(u)\}, \qquad x \in E,\ z \in \Xi^*, \tag{7.3}$$

and we remark that if, for all x, z, the infimum is attained in (7.3) then there exists a measurable function $\gamma : E \times \Xi^* \to U$ such that

$$\psi(x,z) = l(x, \gamma(x,z)) + zR(\gamma(x,z)).$$

This follows from an application of Theorem 4 of [19].

We notice that under the present assumptions ψ is a Lipschitz function and $\psi(\cdot, 0)$ is bounded (here the fact that R depends only on u is used). So if we assume Hypotheses 3.1 and 3.4 then in Theorem 4.4 we have constructed, for every $x \in E$, a triple

$$(\bar{Y}^x, \bar{Z}^x, \bar{\lambda}) = (\bar{v}(X^x), \bar{\zeta}(X^x), \bar{\lambda}) \tag{7.4}$$

solution to the EBSDE (4.1).

Theorem 7.1 *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1, 3.4 and 3.5 hold, and that (7.1) holds as well.*

Moreover suppose that, for some $x \in E$, a triple (Y, Z, λ) verifies $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. equation (4.1) for all $0 \le t \le T$, where Y is a progressively measurable continuous process, Z is a process in $L^2_{\mathcal{P},\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; L^2(0,\infty;\Xi^*))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally assume that there exists $c_x > 0$ (that may depend on x) such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$|Y_t| \le c_x(|X_t^x| + 1), \text{ for all } t \ge 0.$$

Then the following holds:

(i) For arbitrary control u we have $J(x,u) \ge \lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, and the equality holds if and only if $L(X_t^x, u_t) + Z_t R(u_t) = \psi(X_t^x, Z_t)$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for almost every t.

(ii) If the infimum is attained in (7.3) then the control $\bar{u}_t = \gamma(X_t^x, Z_t)$ verifies $J(x, \bar{u}) = \bar{\lambda}$.

In particular, for the solution (7.4) mentioned above, we have:

(iii) For arbitrary control u we have $J(x,u) = \bar{\lambda}$ if and only if $L(X_t^x, u_t) + \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x)R(u_t) = \psi(X_t^x, \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x))$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for almost every t.

(iv) If the infimum is attained in (7.3) then the control $\bar{u}_t = \gamma(X_t^x, \bar{\zeta}(X_t^x))$ verifies $J(x, \bar{u}) = \bar{\lambda}$.

Remark 7.2 1. The equality $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ clearly follows from Theorem 4.6.

2. Points (*iii*) and (*iv*) are immediate consequences of (*i*) and (*ii*).

3. The conclusion of point (*iv*) is that there exists an optimal control in feedback form, with the optimal feedback given by the function $x \mapsto \gamma(x, \bar{\zeta}(x))$.

4. Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, the pair $(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda})$ occurring in (7.4) is a mild solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (6.1).

5. It follows from the proof below that if lim sup is changed into lim inf in the definition (7.2) of the cost, then the same conclusions hold, with the obvious modifications, and the optimal value is given by $\bar{\lambda}$ in both cases.

Proof. As $(Y, Z, \bar{\lambda})$ is a solution of the ergodic BSDE, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -dY_t &= [\psi(X_t^x, Z_t) - \bar{\lambda}]dt - Z_t dW_t \\ &= [\psi(X_t^x, Z_t) - \bar{\lambda}]dt - Z_t dW_t^u - Z_t R(u_t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}[Y_T - Y_0] + \mathbb{E}^{u,T}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T [\psi(X_t^x, Z_t) - Z_t r(u_t) - L(X_t^x, Z_t)]dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}\int_0^T L(X_t^x, Z_t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}\int_0^T L(X_t^x, Z_t)dt \geq \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}[Y_0 - Y_T] + \bar{\lambda}.$$

But by (3.6) we have

$$|\mathbb{E}^{u,T} Y_T| \leq c\mathbb{E}^{u,T}(|X_T^x| + 1) \leq c(1 + |x|).$$

Consequently $T^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}[Y_0 - Y_T] \to 0$, and

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}\int_0^T L(X_t^x, Z_t)dt \geq \bar{\lambda}.$$

Similarly, if $L(X_t^x, u_t) + Z_t R(u_t) = \psi(X_t^x, Z_t)$,

$$\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}\int_0^T L(X_t^x, Z_t)dt = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}^{u,T}[Y_0 - Y_T] + \bar{\lambda},$$

and the claim holds. ■

8 Uniqueness

We wish now to adapt the argument in [15] in order to obtain uniqueness of markovian solutions to the EBSDE. This will be done by a control thoretic interpretation the requires that the Markov process related to the state equation with continuous feedback enjoys recurrence properties. In this section we assume

$$E = H \qquad \text{and} \qquad F \text{ is bounded.} \tag{8.1}$$

We recall here a result due to [23] on recurrence of solution to SDEs.

Theorem 8.1 *Consider*

$$dX_t = (AX_t + g(X_t))dt + GdW_t. \tag{8.2}$$

where $g : H \to H$ is bounded and weakly continuous (that if $x \to \langle \xi, g(x)\rangle$ is continuous for all $\xi \in H$). Let

$$Q_t = \int_0^t e^{sA}GG^* e^{sA^*}ds.$$

and assume the following

1. $\sup_{t\geq 0}$ *Trace* $(Q_t) < \infty$*;*
2. Q_t *is injective for $t > 0$;*

3. *$e^{tA}(H) \subset (Q_t)^{1/2}(H)$ for $t > 0$;*

4. *$\int_0^t |Q_s^{-1/2} e^{sA}| ds < \infty$ for $t > 0$;*

5. *there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\int_0^t s^{-\beta}$ Trace $(S(s)S(s)^*)\, ds < \infty$ for $t > 0$.*

Then, for all $T > 0$, equation (8.2) admits a martingale solution on $[0, T]$, unique in law. The associated transition probabilities $P(t, x, T, \cdot)$ on H $(0 \le t \le T, x \in H)$ identify a recurrent Markov process on $[0, \infty)$.

Consider now the ergodic control problem with state equation:

$$dX_t^{x,u} = (AX_t^{x,u} + F(X_t^{x,u}) + GR(u_t))dt + GdW_t, \;\; X_0^{x,u} = x,$$

and cost

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T l(X_s, u_s) ds$$

where $R : U \to \Xi$ is continuous and bounded.

We restrict ourselves to the class of controls given by continuous feedbacks, i.e. given arbitrary continuous $u : H \to U$ (called feedback) we define the corresponding trajectory as the solution of

$$dX_t^{x,u} = (AX_t^{x,u} + F(X_t^{x,u}))dt + G(R(u(X_t^{x,u}))dt + dW_t), \;\; X_0^{u,x} = x.$$

We notice that for all $T > 0$ there exists a weak solution $X^{x,u}$ of this equation, and it is unique in law.

We set as usual

$$\psi(x, z) = \inf_{u\in U} \{L(x, u) + zR(u)\},$$

and assume that ψ is continuous and there exists a continuous $\gamma : H \times \Xi \to U$ such that

$$\psi(x, z) = L(x, \gamma(x, z)) + zR(\gamma(x, z)).$$

Theorem 8.2 *Suppose (8.1) and suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 8.1 hold. Let (v, ζ, λ) with $v : H \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $\zeta : H \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, and λ a real number satisfy the following conditions:*

1. *$|v(x)| \le c|x|$;*

2. *for an arbitrary filtered probability space with a Wiener process $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t>0}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}, \{\hat{W}_t\}_{t>0})$ and for any solution of*

   $$d\hat{X}_t = (A\hat{X}_t + F(\hat{X}_t))dt + Gd\hat{W}_t, \qquad t \in [0, T],$$

   setting $Y_t = v(\hat{X}_t)$, $Z_t = \zeta(\hat{X}_t)$, we have

   $$-dY_t = [\psi(\hat{X}_t, Z_t) - \lambda]dt - Z_t dW_t \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let

$$\tau_r^T = \inf\{s \in [0,T] : |X_s^{u,x}| < r\},$$

with the convention $\tau_r^T = T$ *if the indicated set is empty, and*

$$J(x,u) = \limsup_{r\to 0} \limsup_{T\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\int_0^{\tau_r^T} [\psi(X_s^{x,u}, u(X_s^{x,u})) - \lambda] ds.$$

Then

$$v(x) = \inf_u J(x,u),$$

where the infimum (that is a minimum) is taken over all continuous feedbacks u*.*

Proof. Let $u : H \to U$ be continuous. We notice that $X^{x,u}$ solves on $[0,T]$:

$$dX_t^{x,u} = (AX_t^{x,u} + F(X_t^{x,u}))ds + Gd\tilde{W}_t^u,\ t \in [0,T],$$

where $\tilde{W}_t = \int_0^t R(u(X_r^{x,u})dr + W_t$ is a Wiener process on $[0,T]$ under a suitable probability $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{u,T}$.

Therefore $Y_t = v(X_t^{x,u})$, $Z_t = \zeta(X_t^{x,u})$ satisfy:

$$-dY_t = [\psi(X_t^{x,u}, u(X_t^{x,u})) - \lambda]dt - Z_t R(u(X_t^{x,u}))]dt - Z_t dW_t.$$

Integrating in $[0, \tau_r^T]$ we get

$$v(x) = \mathbb{E}(v(X_{\tau_r^T}^{x,u})) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^{\tau_r^T} [\psi(X_s^{u,x}, u(X_s^{x,u})) - \lambda - Z_s R(X_s^{x,u})]ds.$$

Thus,

$$v(x) \le \mathbb{E}(v(X_{\tau_r^T}^{x,u})) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^{\tau_r^T} [L(X_s^{u,x}, u(X_s^{x,u})) - \lambda]ds. \tag{8.3}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}(v(X_{\tau_r^T}^{x,u}))| \le c\mathbb{E}|X_{\tau_r^T}^{x,u}| &\le cr + (\mathbb{E}(|X_T^{x,u}|^2))^{1/2}(\mathbb{P}(\tau_r^T = r))^{1/2} \\ &\le cr + c(\mathbb{P}(\tau_r^T = r))^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_r^T = r) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\inf_{t\in[0,T]} |\tilde{X}_t| \ge r)$, where $\tilde{X}$ is the Markov process on the whole $[0,+\infty)$ corresponding to the equation (8.2) with $g = F(\cdot) + GR(u(\cdot))$.

Since $\tilde{X}$ is recurrent, for all $r > 0$ it holds $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\inf_{t\in[0,T]} |\tilde{X}_t| > r) \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$. Thus

$$\limsup_{r\to 0} \limsup_{T\to\infty} |\mathbb{E}(v(X_{\tau_r^T}^{x,u}))| \to 0.$$

Hence,

$$v(x) \le \limsup_{r\to 0} \limsup_{T\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\int_0^{\tau_r^T} [l(X_s^{x,u}, u(X_s^{x,u})) - \lambda]ds.$$

The proof is completed noticing that if u is chosen as $u(x) = \gamma(x, \zeta(x))$ then the above inequality becomes an equality. ■

This result combines with Theorems 4.6 and 6.1 to give the following

Corollary 8.3 *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorems 4.6, 6.1 and 8.2 hold. Then* $(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda})$ *is the unique mild solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (6.1) satisfying* $|\bar{v}(x)| \le c|x|$*.*

9 Application to ergodic control of a semilinear heat equation

In this section we show how our results can be applied to perform the synthesis of the ergodic optimal control when the state equation is a semilinear heat equation with additive noise. More precisely, we treat a stochastic heat equation in space dimension one, with a dissipative nonlinear term and with control and noise acting on a subinterval. We consider homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.
In $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions, we consider, for $t \in [0,T]$ and $\xi \in [0,1]$, the following equation

$$\begin{cases} d_t X^u(t,\xi) = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2} X^u(t,\xi) + f(\xi, X^u(t,\xi)) + \chi_{[a,b]}(\xi) u(t,\xi)\right] dt + \chi_{[a,b]}(\xi) \dot{W}(t,\xi)\, dt, \\ X^u(t,0) = X^u(t,1) = 0, \\ X^u(t,\xi) = x_0(\xi), \end{cases} \tag{9.1}$$

where $\chi_{[a,b]}$ is the indicator function of $[a,b]$ with $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$; $\dot{W}(t,\xi)$ is a space-time white noise on $[0,T] \times [0,1]$.
We introduce the cost functional

$$J(x,u) = \limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^1 l(\xi, X^u_s(\xi), u_s(\xi))\, \mu(d\xi)\, ds, \tag{9.2}$$

where μ is a finite Borel measure on $[0,1]$. An admissible control $u(t,\xi)$ is a predictable process such that for all $t \geq 0$, and $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. $u(t,\cdot) \in U := \{v \in C([0,1]) : |v(\xi)| \leq \delta\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}$ the set of such admissible controls. We wish to minimize the cost over $\mathcal{U}$, adopting the formulation of Section 7, i.e. by a change of probability in the form of (7.2). The cost introduced in (9.2) is well defined on the space of continuous functions on the interval $[0,1]$, but for an arbitrary μ it is not well defined on the Hilbert space of square integrable functions.

We suppose the following:

Hypothesis 9.1 1. *$f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and for every $\xi \in [0,1]$, $f(\xi, \cdot)$ is decreasing. Moreover there exist $C > 0$ and $m > 0$ such that for every $\xi \in [0,1]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|f(\xi,x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^m, \qquad f(0,x) = f(1,x) = 0.$$

2. *$l : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times [-\delta,\delta] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and bounded, and $l(\xi,\cdot,u)$ is Lipschitz continuous uniformly with respect to $\xi \in [0,1]$, $u \in [-\delta,\delta]$.*

3. *$x_0 \in C([0,1])$, $x_0(0) = x_0(1) = 0$.*

To rewrite the problem in an abstract way we set $H = \Xi = L^2(0,1)$ and $E = C_0([0,1]) = \{y \in C([0,1]) : y(0) = y(1) = 0\}$. We define an operator A in E by

$$D(A) = \{y \in C^2([0,1]) : y, y'' \in C_0([0,1])\}, \qquad (Ay)(\xi) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2} y(\xi) \text{ for } y \in D(A).$$

We notice that A is the generator of a C_0 semigroup in E, admitting and extension to H, and $\left|e^{tA}\right|_{L(E,E)} \leq e^{-t}$ see, for instance, Theorem 11.3.1 in [7]. As a consequence, $A + F + I$ is dissipative in E.

We set, for $x \in E$, $\xi \in [0,1]$, $z \in \Xi$, $u \in U$,

$$F(x)(\xi) = f(\xi, x(\xi)), \quad (Gz)(\xi) = \chi_{[a,b]}(\xi) z(\xi), \quad L(x,u) = \int_0^1 l(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi))\, \mu(d\xi), \tag{9.3}$$

and let R denote the canonical imbedding of $C([0,1])$ in $L^2(0,1)$.
Finally $\{W_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in H with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$

It is easy to verify that Hypotheses 3.1 and 3.4 are satisfied (for the proof of point 4 in Hypothesis 3.1 and of Hypothesis 3.4 see again [7] Theorem 11.3.1.).
Moreover, see for instance [5], for some $C > 0$,

$$\left|e^{tA}\right|_{L(H,E)} \leq Ct^{-1/4}, \qquad t \in (0,1],$$

thus Hypothesis 3.5 holds.
Also Hypothesis 5.2 is satisfied by taking $\Xi_0 = \{f \in C_0\left([0,1]\right) : f(a) = f(b) = 0\}$.

Clearly the controlled heat equation (9.1) can now be written in abstract way in the Banach space E as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} dX_t^{x_0,u} = [AX_t^{x_0,u} + F\left(X_t^{x_0,u}\right)]\,dt + GRu_t dt + GdW_t \quad t \in [t,T] \\ X_0^{x_0,u} = x_0, \end{array}\right. \tag{9.4}$$

and the results of the previous sections can be applied to the ergodic cost (9.2) (reformulated by a change of probability in the form of (7.2)).
In particular if we define, for all $x \in C_0([0,1])$, $z \in L^2(0,1)$, $u \in U$ (identifying $L^2(0,1)$ with its dual)

$$\psi(x,z) = \inf_{u\in U}\left\{\int_0^1 l(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi))\mu(d\xi) + \int_a^b z(\xi)u(\xi)d\xi\right\}$$

then there exist $\overline{v} : E \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz continuous and with $\overline{v}(0) = 0$, $\overline{\zeta} : E \to \Xi^*$ measurable and $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that if $X^{x_0} = X^{x_0,0}$ is the solution of equation (9.4) then $(\overline{v}(X^{x_0}), \overline{\zeta}(X^{x_0}), \overline{\lambda})$ is a solution of the EBSDE (4.1) and the characterization of the optimal ergodic control stated in Theorem 7.1 holds (and $\overline{\lambda}$ is unique in the sense of Theorem 4.6).

Moreover if f is of class $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ (consequently F will be of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E,E)$) and ψ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E \times \Xi^*, E)$ then by Theorem 5.1 $\overline{v}$ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(E,E)$ and, by Theorem 6.1, it is a mild solution of the ergodic HJB equation (6.1) and it holds $\overline{\zeta} = \nabla\overline{v}G$.

Let us then consider the particular case in which $[a,b] = [0,1]$, $f(x,\xi) = f(x)$ is of class C^1 with derivative having polynomial growth, and satisfies $f(0) = 0$, $[f(x+h) - f(x)]h \leq -c|h|^{2+\epsilon}$ for suitable $c, \epsilon > 0$ and all $x, h \in \mathbb{R}$ (for instance, $f(x) = -x^3$). In that case the Kolmogorov semigroup corresponding to the process X^{x_0} is strongly Feller, see [5] and [18], and it is easy to verify that F is genuinely dissipative (see Definition 5.2). Moreover we can choose $\Xi_0 = C_0([0,1])$ and it turns out that ψ is bounded on each set $E \times B$, where B is any ball of Ξ_0^*. Thus the claims of Corollaries 5.7 and 5.8 hold true, and in particular $\overline{v}$ is bounded.

Finally if we assume that μ is Lebesgue measure and f is bounded and Lipschitz we can choose $E = \Xi = \Xi_0 = H = L^2(0,1)$. Then the assumptions of Theorem 8.1 are satisfied and we can apply Theorem 8.2 to characterize the function $\overline{v}$. In particular if f is of class $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and ψ is of class $\mathcal{G}^1(H \times \Xi^*, H)$ then $\overline{v}$ is the unique mild solution of the ergodic HJB equation (6.1).

References

[1] M. Arisawa, P. L. Lions, On ergodic stochastic control, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **23** (1998), 2187–2217.

[2] A. Bensoussan, Équations paraboliques intervenant en contrôle optimal ergodique, *Mat. Apl. Comput.* **6** (1987), 211–255.

[3] A. Bensoussan and J. Frehse. On Bellman equations of ergodic control in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **429** (1992), 125–160.

[4] P. Briand and Y. Hu, Stability of BSDEs with random terminal time and homogenization of semilinear elliptic PDEs, *J. Funct. Anal.* **155** (1998), 455–494.

[5] S. Cerrai, *Second order PDE's in finite and infinite dimension, A probabilistic approach.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1762. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 44, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[7] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems.* London Mathematical Society Note Series, 229, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[8] T.E. Duncan, B. Maslowski and B. Pasik-Duncan, Adaptive boundary and point control of linear stochastic distributed parameter systems, *SIAM J.Control Optim.*, **32** (1994), 648-672

[9] N. El Karoui, L. Mazliak, *Backward stochastic differential equations.* Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 364. 1997.

[10] S. Kwapień, W.A. Woyczyński, *Random series and stochastic integrals: single and multiple.* Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.

[11] M. Fuhrman, A class of stochastic optimal control problems in Hilbert spaces: BSDEs and optimal control laws, state constraints, conditioned processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **108** (2003), 263–298.

[12] M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore, Nonlinear Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensional spaces: the backward stochastic differential equations approach and applications to optimal control, *Ann. Probab.* **30** (2002), 1397–1465.

[13] M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore, The Bismut-Elworthy formula for backward SDE's and applications to nonlinear Kolmogorov equations and control, *Stochastics Stochastic Rep.* **74** (2002), 429–464.

[14] M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore, Infinite horizon backward stochastic differential equations and elliptic equations in Hilbert spaces, *Ann. Probab.* **32** (2004), 607-660.

[15] B. Goldys and B. Maslowski, Ergodic control of semilinear stochastic equations and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **234** (1999), 592–631.

[16] Y. Hu, G. Tessitore, BSDE on an infinite horizon and elliptic PDEs in infinite dimension. To appear on *NoDEA*.

[17] F. Masiero, Stochastic optimal control problems and parabolic equations in Banach spaces. To appear on *Appl. Math. Optim.*.

[18] F. Masiero, Regularizing properties for transition semigroups and semilinear parabolic equations in Banach spaces. *Electronic Journal of Probability* Vol. 12 (2007), Paper no. 13, pages 387-419.

[19] E.J. McShane, R.B. Warfield, On Filippov's implicit functions lemma, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (1967), 41–47.

[20] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Systems and Control Lett.* **14** (1990) 55–61.

[21] S. Peng, Backward stochastic differential equations and applications to optimal control. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **27** (1993) 125-144.

[22] M. Royer, BSDEs with a random terminal time driven by a monotone generator and their links with PDEs. *Stochastics Stochastic Rep.* **76** (2004), 281–307.

[23] J. Seidler, Ergodic behaviour of stochastic parabolic equations, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **47(122)** (1997), 277–316.

[24] R. E. Showalter, *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 49. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[25] J. Yong, X. Y. Zhou, *Stochastic Controls. Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations.* Springer, New York, 1999.